

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: David S. Ebbo et al.	Attorney Docket No.: 160315.01
Application No.: 09/902,469	Group Art Unit: 2191
Filed: July 10, 2001	Examiner: Mary J. Steelman
Customer No.: 22971	Confirmation Number: 6779
Title: User Control Object for Providing Server-side Code Generation From a User Defined Dynamic Web Page Content File	

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1460
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims 1-19 in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

Comments on Examiners Statement of Reasons for Allowance
Application Number: 09/902,469
Attorney Docket Number: 160315.01

PATENT

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

Respectfully submitted,
Microsoft Corporation


Date: April 19, 2006

By: 
Paul B. Heynssens,
Registration Number: 47,648
Attorney for Applicants
Direct telephone (425) 707-3913
Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION
(Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

April 19, 2006
Date


Signature

Noemi Tovar
Printed Name

Comments on Examiners Statement of Reasons for Allowance
Application Number: 09/902,469
Attorney Docket Number: 160315.01